Page 1 of 32

Permit No. WA-000179-1 Issuance Date: July 8, 2003 Effective Date: July 8, 2003 Expiration Date: April 30, 2008

Modification Date: November 10, 2005

# NATIONAL POLLUTANT DISCHARGE ELIMINATION SYSTEM WASTE DISCHARGE PERMIT No. WA-000179-1

State of Washington DEPARTMENT OF ECOLOGY Northwest Regional Office 3190 - 160th Avenue SE Bellevue, WA 98008-5452

In compliance with the provisions of
The State of Washington Water Pollution Control Law
Chapter 90.48 Revised Code of Washington
and
The Federal Water Pollution Control Act
(The Clean Water Act)
Title 33 United States Code, Section 1251 et seq.

## SHELL OIL PRODUCTS US

Shell Seattle Terminal 2555 - 13th Avenue SW Seattle, WA 98134

Facility Location: Receiving Water:

2555 - 13th Avenue SW Duwamish River West Waterway

Seattle, WA 98134 Class B

King County

Cedar/Green WQMA

Water Body I.D. No.: Discharge Location:

04-09-09 Outfall 001: Latitude: 47° 34' 48" N

Longitude: 122° 21' 04" W

Industry Type: Outfall 002: Latitude: 47° 34′ 52" N

Bulk Petroleum Storage and Distribution Longitude: 122° 21' 13" W

is authorized to discharge in accordance with the Special and General Conditions which follow.

Kevin C. Fitzpatrick Water Quality Section Manager Northwest Regional Office Washington State Department of Ecology

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

SUMN	MARY OF SCHEDULED PERMIT REPORT SUBMITTALS4
	SPECIAL CONDITIONS
S1.	DISCHARGE LIMITATIONS
S2. A. B. C.	
S3. A. B. C. D. E.	
S4.	UNANTICIPATED DISCHARGES
S5. A. B.	OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE
S6. A. B.	SOLID WASTE DISPOSAL
S7.	SPILL PLAN
S8.	BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICES
S9. A. B. C. D. E. F.	ACUTE TOXICITY

S10.	CHRONIC TOXICITY	19
A.	Effluent Characterization	
B.	Effluent Limit Toxicity	
C.	Monitoring for Compliance With an Effluent Limit for Chronic Toxicity	
D.	Response to Noncompliance With an Effluent Limit for Chronic Toxicity	
E.	Monitoring When There Is No Permit Limit for Chronic Toxicity	
F.	Sampling and Reporting Requirements	
S11.	STORMWATER POLLUTION PREVENTION PLAN (SWPPP)	23
S12.	COMPLIANCE SCHEDULE	24
A.		
В.	•	
C.	Construction and Startup	
	GENERAL CONDITIONS	
G1.	SIGNATORY REQUIREMENTS	
G2.	RIGHT OF INSPECTION AND ENTRY	
G3.	PERMIT ACTIONS	
G4.	REPORTING PLANNED CHANGES	27
G5.	PLAN REVIEW REQUIRED	
G6.	COMPLIANCE WITH OTHER LAWS AND STATUTES	
G7.	DUTY TO REAPPLY	
G8.	TRANSFER OF THIS PERMIT	
G9.	REDUCED PRODUCTION FOR COMPLIANCE	
G10.	REMOVED SUBSTANCES	
G11.	DUTY TO PROVIDE INFORMATION	29
G12.	OTHER REQUIREMENTS OF 40 CFR	
G13.	ADDITIONAL MONITORING	29
G14.	PAYMENT OF FEES	29
G15.	PENALTIES FOR VIOLATING PERMIT CONDITIONS	
G16.	UPSET	
G17.	PROPERTY RIGHTS	30
G18.	DUTY TO COMPLY	
G19.	TOXIC POLLUTANTS	
G20.	PENALTIES FOR TAMPERING	
G21.	REPORTING ANTICIPATED NONCOMPLIANCE	
G22.	REPORTING OTHER INFORMATION	
G23.	REPORTING REQUIREMENTS APPLICABLE TO EXISTING MANUFAC	CTURING,
	COMMERCIAL, MINING, AND SILVICULTURAL DISCHARGERS	31
G24.	COMPLIANCE SCHEDULES	32

# SUMMARY OF SCHEDULED PERMIT REPORT SUBMITTALS

Permit Section	Submittal	Frequency	First Submittal Date
S3.	Discharge Monitoring Report	Quarterly	October 15, 2003
S5A.	Operations and Maintenance Manual Update or Review Confirmation Letter	As necessary	
S7.	Updated Spill Plan	1/permit cycle	One hundred and eighty (180) days before permit expiration
S9.A	Acute Toxicity Characterization Data	1/permit cycle	Test begins by November 1, 2005 (report shall be submitted sixty [60] days after the sample date)
S10.A	Chronic Toxicity Characterization Data	1/permit cycle	Test begins by November 1, 2005 (report shall be submitted sixty [60] days after the sample date)
S11.	Updated Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan	1/permit cycle	October 30, 2007
S12.A	AKART Analysis	1/permit cycle	June 30, 2004
S12.B	Engineering Report	1/permit cycle	August 31, 2004
S12.C	Construction and Startup	1/permit cycle	January 30, 2005
G1.	Notice of Change in Authorization	As necessary	
G7.	Application for Permit Renewal	1/permit cycle	October 30, 2007

Modification Date: November 10, 2005

## **SPECIAL CONDITIONS**

## S1. DISCHARGE LIMITATIONS

All discharges and activities authorized by this permit shall be consistent with the terms and conditions of this permit. The discharge of any of the following pollutants more frequently than, or at a concentration in excess of, that authorized by this permit shall constitute a violation of the terms and conditions of this permit.

Beginning on the effective date of this permit and lasting through the expiration date, the Permittee is authorized to discharge treated wastewater to the west waterway of the Duwamish River via city storm sewers, at the discharge location specified on page one of this permit. The Permittee is subject to meeting the following limitations:

1 C C				
EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS: OUTFALL 001 (Main Oil Water Separator)				
Parameter	Average Monthly <sup>a</sup>	Maximum Daily <sup>b</sup>		
рН	Between the range of 6.	Between the range of 6.5 and 8.5 standard units		
Oil and Grease	10 mg/L	15 mg/L		
Oil and Grease No oily sheen				
Total Suspended Solids (TSS)	21 mg/L	33 mg/L		
Benzene		71 µg/L		
Ethyl benzene		0.100 mg/L		
Zinc (TR)		(1138 µg/L, Interim Limit) <sup>c</sup>		
EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS: OUTFALL 002 (OWS located next to the warehouse)				
Parameter	Average Monthly <sup>a</sup>	Maximum Daily <sup>b</sup>		
Oil and Grease	10 mg/L	15 mg/L		
Oil and Grease No oily sheen				

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> The average monthly effluent limitation is defined as the highest allowable average of daily discharges over a calendar month, calculated as the sum of all daily discharges measured during a calendar month divided by the number of daily discharges measured during that month. If only one sample is taken during the calendar month, the maximum daily effluent limitation applies to that sample.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> The maximum daily effluent limitation is defined as the highest allowable daily discharge.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup> The interim effluent limit for zinc is 1,138  $\mu$ g/L. The final effluent limit shall be the acute marine water quality criterion for zinc (95  $\mu$ g/L), effective February 1, 2006.

# **S2.** MONITORING REQUIREMENTS

# A. <u>Monitoring Schedule</u>

Outfall 001: Main oil/water separator (OWS) located northeast of the facility

Parameter <sup>1</sup>	Units	Minimum Sampling Frequency	Sample Type	Test Method <sup>4</sup>
Flow	gpd	Daily	Continuous	Estimated based on rainfall data
Oil and Grease	mg/l	Daily Monthly	Inspection Grab	Visual 1664
TPH-G	mg/l	Monthly	Grab	NWTPH-GX
BTEX	μg/L	Monthly	Grab	624
TSS	mg/L	Monthly	Composite <sup>2</sup>	160.2
Lead	μg/L	Monthly	Grab	200.7
Zinc <sup>6</sup>	μg/L	Monthly	Grab	200.7
Copper	μg/L	Monthly	Grab	200.7
Priority Pollutants <sup>5</sup>	μg/L	Annually	Grab or Continuous	625, 624, 608, 200, 335.2
рН	Standard Units	Daily <sup>3</sup>	Grab	150.1
Wet Testing		Quarterly	Grab	See Permit Conditions S9 and S10

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The final effluent sample point is defined as after the excelsior filler at the last chamber of the main oil water separator.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The sampling method for TSS shall be a composite of four aliquots taken at two hour intervals on each sampling day.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> pH can be monitored in-house using pH paper or EPA Method 150.1. The results shall be recorded in a logbook, which make available for inspection.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Method listed refers to "Methods for Chemical Analysis of Water and Wastes," U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, EPA-600/4-79-020, March 1979. See 40 CFR 136.3 (Table IB) for equivalent methods.

<sup>5</sup> A priority pollutant scan includes: semi-volatiles (organic acid extractables and organic base-neutral extractables), volatile organic analysis and metals, with the exception of PCB's. For a complete list of priority pollutants, see Appendix A. Metals include total arsenic, cadmium, copper, lead, mercury, nickel, silver, and zinc. Metals analysis shall be for total recoverable using AA furnace, unless the metal can be quantified using ICP (except cold vapor for mercury).

**Outfall 002**: OWS located west of the warehouse. An oil absorbent pad shall be installed in the third chamber of the OWS at all times. No monitoring is necessary for reporting periods during the summer months in which there is no discharge.

Parameter	Units	Sample Point <sup>1</sup>	Minimum Sampling Frequency	Sample Type
Flow	gpd	Final Effluent	Monthly	Estimated
Oil and Grease <sup>2,3</sup>	mg/L	Final Effluent	Monthly Daily	Grab Visual Inspection
pH <sup>1</sup>	Standard Units	Final Effluent	Monthly	Grab

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The final effluent sample point is defined as the clear well of the last chamber of the OWS.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Zinc shall be analyzed using EPA method 200.7 (Inductive Coupled Plasma Emission Spectroscopy ICP) a detection limit of 0.02 mg/L or less.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Sample shall be collected during the first 60 minutes of storm or as soon as possible thereafter, taking safety and staffing into consideration, to represent a first flush sample.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> The sampling frequency for oil and grease may be reduced to once/2 months upon written approval by the Department, if the test results show 8 consecutive months of compliance with effluent limitations. In the event of any noncompliance with effluent limitations, the frequency shall return to 1/month until another 8 months of compliance is demonstrated and written approval is granted by the Department.

Modification Date: November 10, 2005

# B. Sampling and Analytical Procedures

Samples and measurements taken to meet the requirements of this permit shall be representative of the volume and nature of the monitored parameters, including representative sampling of any unusual discharge or discharge condition, including bypasses, upsets, and maintenance-related conditions affecting effluent quality.

Sampling and analytical methods used to meet the water and wastewater monitoring requirements specified in this permit shall conform to the latest revision of the *Guidelines Establishing Test Procedures for the Analysis of Pollutants* contained in 40 CFR Part 136 or to the latest revision of *Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater* (APHA), unless otherwise specified in this permit or approved in writing by the Department of Ecology (Department).

## C. Laboratory Accreditation

All monitoring data required by the Department shall be prepared by a laboratory registered or accredited under the provisions of, *Accreditation of Environmental Laboratories*, Chapter 173-50 WAC. Flow, temperature, settleable solids, conductivity, pH, and internal process control parameters are exempt from this requirement.

Conductivity and pH shall be accredited if the laboratory must otherwise be registered or accredited. Crops, soils, and hazardous waste data are exempted from this requirement pending accreditation of laboratories for analysis of these media by the Department.

## S3. REPORTING AND RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS

The Permittee shall monitor and report in accordance with the following conditions. The falsification of information submitted to the Department shall constitute a violation of the terms and conditions of this permit.

## A. Reporting

The first monitoring period begins on the effective date of the permit. Monitoring results shall be submitted quarterly. Monitoring results obtained during the previous three (3) months shall be reported on the monthly (one form shall be completed for each month) forms as provided, or otherwise approved, by the Department, and be received no later than the 15th day of the month following the completed reporting period, unless otherwise specified in this permit.

Priority pollutant analysis data shall be submitted no later than 45 days following the reporting period. The report shall be sent to the Department of Ecology, Northwest Regional Office, 3190 - 160th Avenue SE, Bellevue, Washington, 98008-5452.

Modification Date: November 10, 2005

All lab reports providing data for organic and metal parameters shall include the following information: sampling date, sample location, date of analysis, parameter name, CAS number, analytical method/number, method detection limit (MDL), lab practical quantitation limit (PQL), reporting units, and concentration detected.

## B. Records Retention

The Permittee shall retain records of all monitoring information for a minimum of three (3) years. Such information shall include all calibration and maintenance records and all original recordings for continuous monitoring instrumentation, copies of all reports required by this permit, and records of all data used to complete the application for this permit. This period of retention shall be extended during the course of any unresolved litigation regarding the discharge of pollutants by the Permittee or when requested by the Director.

# C. Recording of Results

For each measurement or sample taken, the Permittee shall record the following information: (1) the date, exact place, method, and time of sampling; (2) the individual who performed the sampling or measurement; (3) the dates the analyses were performed; (4) who performed the analyses; (5) the analytical techniques or methods used; and (6) the results of all analyses.

## D. Additional Monitoring by the Permittee

If the Permittee monitors any pollutant more frequently than required by this permit using test procedures specified by Condition S2 of this permit, then the results of this monitoring shall be included in the calculation and reporting of the data submitted in the Permittee's self-monitoring reports.

## E. Noncompliance Notification

In the event the Permittee is unable to comply with any of the permit terms and conditions due to any cause, the Permittee shall:

- 1. Immediately take action to stop, contain, and cleanup unauthorized discharges or otherwise stop the violation, and correct the problem;
- 2. Repeat sampling and analysis of any violation and submit the results to the Department within thirty (30) days after becoming aware of the violation;
- 3. Immediately notify the Department of the failure to comply; and

4. Submit a detailed, written report to the Department within thirty (30) days (five [5] days for upsets and bypasses), unless requested earlier by the Department. The report should describe the nature of the violation, corrective action taken and/or planned, steps to be taken to prevent a recurrence, results of the resampling, and any other pertinent information.

Compliance with these requirements does not relieve the Permittee from responsibility to maintain continuous compliance with the terms and conditions of this permit or the resulting liability for failure to comply.

## S4. UNANTICIPATED DISCHARGES

Beginning on the effective date of this permit, the Permittee may discharge nonroutine wastewater on a case-by-case basis. Prior to any such discharge, the Permittee shall contact the Department and **at a minimum** provide the following information:

- 1. The nature of the activity that is generating the discharge.
- 2. Any alternatives to the discharge, such as reuse, storage, or recycling of the water.
- 3. The total volume of water expected to be discharged.
- 4. The results of the chemical analysis of the water. The water shall be analyzed for all constituents specified by the Department. All discharges must comply with the effluent limitations established for Outfall 001, water quality standards, sediment management standards, and other limitations deemed necessary by the Department.
- 5. The rate at which the water will be discharged, in gallons per minute. The discharge rate shall be limited to that which will not cause erosion of ditches or structural damage to culverts and their entrances or exits. The Permittee is responsible to contact the city to obtain the maximum acceptable discharge rate.

The discharge cannot proceed until the Department has reviewed the information provided and has authorized the discharged. Authorization from the Department will be by letter to the Permittee or by an administrative order.

## S5. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE

The Permittee shall at all times be responsible for the proper operation and maintenance of any facilities or systems of control installed to achieve compliance with the terms and conditions of the permit.

# A. Operations and Maintenance Manual

The Permittee shall review and update the Operations and Maintenance (O&M) Manual annually and confirm this review by letter to the Department. Substantial changes or updates to the O&M Manual shall be submitted to the Department whenever they are incorporated into the manual.

The approved Operations and Maintenance Manual shall be kept available at the permitted facility and all operators shall follow the instructions and procedures of this manual.

## The O&M Manual shall include:

- 1. Emergency procedures for plant (oil/water separator, activated carbon systems, etc.) shutdown and cleanup in event of wastewater system upset or failure; and
- 2. Plant maintenance procedures.

# B. Bypass Procedures

The Permittee shall immediately notify the Department of any spill, overflow, or bypass from any portion of the collection or treatment system.

The bypass of wastes from any portion of the treatment system is prohibited unless one of the following conditions (1, 2, or 3) applies:

1. Unavoidable Bypass—Bypass is unavoidable to prevent loss of life, personal injury, or severe property damage. "Severe property damage" means substantial physical damage to property, damage to the treatment facilities which would cause them to become inoperable, or substantial and permanent loss of natural resources which can reasonably be expected to occur in the absence of a bypass.

If the resulting bypass from any portion of the treatment system results in noncompliance with this permit, the Permittee shall notify the Department in accordance with condition S3.E "Noncompliance Notification."

Page 12 of 32 Permit No. WA-000179-1 Modification Date: November 10, 2005

2. Anticipated Bypass That Has the Potential to Violate Permit Limits or Conditions—Bypass is authorized by an administrative order issued by the Department. The Permittee shall apply to the Department for the administrative order at least thirty (30) days before the planned date of bypass. The written submission shall contain: (1) a description of the bypass and its cause; (2) an analysis of all known alternatives which would eliminate, reduce, or mitigate the need for bypassing; (3) a cost-effectiveness analysis of alternatives including comparative resource damage assessment; (4) the minimum and maximum duration of bypass under each alternative; (5) a recommendation as to the preferred alternative for conducting the bypass; (6) the projected date of bypass initiation; (7) a statement of compliance with SEPA; (8) a request for a water quality modification, as provided for in WAC 173-201A-110, and (9) steps taken or planned to reduce, eliminate, and prevent reoccurrence of the bypass.

For probable construction bypasses, the need to bypass is to be identified as early in the planning process as possible. The analysis required above shall be considered during preparation of the engineering report or facilities plan and plans and specifications and shall be included to the extent practical. In cases where the probable need to bypass is determined early, continued analysis is necessary up to and including the construction period in an effort to minimize or eliminate the bypass.

The Department will consider the following prior to issuing an administrative order:

- a. If the bypass is necessary to perform construction or maintenance-related activities essential to meet the requirements of the permit.
- b. If there are feasible alternatives to bypass, such as the use of auxiliary treatment facilities, retention of untreated wastes, stopping production, maintenance during normal periods of equipment down time, or transport of untreated wastes to another treatment facility.
- c. If the bypass is planned and scheduled to minimize adverse effects on the public and the environment.

After consideration of the above and the adverse effects of the proposed bypass and any other relevant factors, the Department will approve or deny the request.

The public shall be notified and given an opportunity to comment on bypass incidents of significant duration, to the extent feasible. Approval of a request to bypass will be by administrative order issued by the Department under RCW 90.48.120.

3. Bypass For Essential Maintenance Without the Potential to Cause Violation of Permit Limits or Conditions—Bypass is authorized if it is for essential maintenance and does not have the potential to cause violations of limitations or other conditions of the permit, or adversely impact public health as determined by the Department prior to the bypass.

## S6. SOLID WASTE DISPOSAL

## A. Solid Waste Handling

The Permittee shall handle and dispose of all solid waste material in such a manner as to prevent its entry into state ground or surface water.

# B. Leachate

The Permittee shall not allow leachate from its solid waste material to enter state waters without providing all known, available, and reasonable methods of treatment, nor allow such leachate to cause violations of the State Surface Water Quality Standards, Chapter 173-201A WAC, or the State Ground Water Quality Standards, Chapter 173-200 WAC. The Permittee shall apply for a permit or permit modification as may be required for such discharges to state ground or surface waters.

## S7. SPILL PLAN

The Permittee shall submit to the Department an update to the existing spill control plan with the application for permit renewal one hundred and eighty (180) days prior to the expiration date of the permit.

The updated spill control plan shall include the following:

- A description of the reporting system which will be used to alert responsible managers and legal authorities in the event of a spill.
- A description of preventive measures and facilities (including an overall facility plot showing drainage patterns) which prevent, contain, or treat spills of these materials.
- A list of all oil and chemicals used, processed, or stored at the facility, which may be spilled into state waters.

For the purpose of meeting this requirement, plans and manuals required by 40 CFR Part 112, and contingency plans required by Chapter 173-303 WAC may be submitted.

## S8. BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICES

- 1. The oil/water separators shall be inspected on a weekly basis at minimum and maintained as needed to ensure satisfactory performance. Oil sludges shall be disposed of in a manner that will not cause water quality degradation to state waters. A record of inspection, maintenance, and disposal shall be kept on file and available for review by the Department.
- 2. All stormwater runoff from the containment tank farm shall be directed to the existing oil/water separator for treatment prior to discharge. Stormwater runoff from the product transfer area shall be collected and treated through an activated carbon system prior to discharge to the main oil/water separator.
- 3. All detergent washing of vehicles shall be conducted on established wash racks which drains into the sanitary sewer.
- 4. In the event of an accidental discharge of oil, chemicals, toxic, or hazardous materials into waters of the state or onto land with a potential for entry into state waters, including groundwater, representatives of the Northwest Regional Office Spill Response Team shall be notified immediately (within 24 hours) at (425) 649-7000. A written spill report shall be submitted to the Department of Ecology, Water Quality Program, within five (5) days of the time the Permittee becomes aware of the circumstances, unless the Department waives or extends this requirement on a case-by-case basis.
- 5. No emulsifiers or dispersants and no fire suppression foam agents and wash water shall be released to the oil/water separators.
- 6. Contained, collected, or accumulated oils and solvents shall be discharged directly to the waste oil tank and not discharged to the oil/water separators or any sewer systems. Records or manifests for the waste oil disposal (hauling) shall be kept on-site and made available for inspection.
- 7. Best Management Practices shall be employed on the dock facilities to collect oil spillage when making and breaking hose connections, and to prevent spillage from all hoses, hose reels, and filler nozzles. Containment and other specialized oil cleanup equipment shall be available at all times for immediate emergency use.
- 8. Best Management Practices shall be employed on-site to reduce dust and debris by sweeping the area impacted by heavy vehicle traffic whenever weather permits.
- 9. All tank water drawn shall be hauled off-site for proper disposal.

- 10. Once during each pipeline receipt from the deck or via Olympic Pipeline, a walk through inspection shall be conducted on the transfer line starting from the manifold area and proceeding to the individual tank during the transfer process.
- 11. A daily inspection shall be conducted in the tank farm for leaks and spills.
- 12. Sludges, scales, and sediments from tanks shall be disposed of in an approved manner other than to waters of the state, and other then to the sanitary sewer system.
- 13. All barrels, drums, or similar containers containing toxic or deleterious materials, including, but not limited to petroleum products, organic solvents, resins, strong acids and bases, cyanides, and heavy metal salts, shall be stored in an upright position, in a bermed, covered area sufficient to prevent discharge into state ground or surface waters in the event of leakage or rupture.
- 14. Empty barrels shall be stored with all openings plugged, in an upright position, and at least twenty feet from a storm drain.

## **S9.** ACUTE TOXICITY

## A. <u>Effluent Characterization</u>

The Permittee shall conduct acute toxicity testing on the final effluent to determine the presence and amount of acute (lethal) toxicity. The two acute toxicity tests listed below shall be conducted on each sample taken for effluent characterization.

Effluent characterization for acute toxicity shall be conducted quarterly for one (1) year. Acute toxicity testing shall follow protocols, monitoring requirements, and quality assurance/quality control procedures specified in this section. A dilution series consisting of a minimum of five concentrations and a control shall be used to estimate the concentration lethal to 50% of the organisms (LC<sub>50</sub>). The percent survival in 100% effluent shall also be reported.

Testing shall begin by November 1, 2006. A written report shall be submitted to the Department within sixty (60) days after the sample date. A final effluent characterization summary report shall be submitted to the Department within ninety (90) days after the last monitoring test results are final. This summary report shall include a tabulated summary of the individual test results and any information on sources of toxicity, toxicity source control, correlation with effluent data, and toxicity treatability which is developed during the period of testing.

Acute toxicity tests shall be conducted with the following species and protocols:

- 1. Silverside minnow, *Menidia beryllina* (96-hour static-renewal test, method: EPA/600/4-90/027F).
- 2. Mysid, *Americamysis (Mysiopsis) bahia* (48-hour static test, method: EPA/600/4-90/027F).

# B. <u>Effluent Limit for Acute Toxicity</u>

The Permittee has an effluent limit for acute toxicity if, after completing one year of effluent characterization, either:

- 1. The median survival of any species in 100% effluent is below 80%, or
- 2. Any one test of any species exhibits less than 65% survival in 100% effluent.

If an effluent limit for acute toxicity is required by Subsection B at the end of one year of effluent characterization, the Permittee shall immediately complete all applicable requirements in Subsections C, D, and F.

The effluent limit for acute toxicity is no acute toxicity detected in a test concentration representing the acute critical effluent concentration (ACEC).

In the event of failure to pass the test described in Subsection C of this section for compliance with the effluent limit for acute toxicity, the Permittee is considered to be in compliance with all permit requirements for acute whole effluent toxicity as long as the requirements in Subsection D are being met to the satisfaction of the Department.

The ACEC means the maximum concentration of effluent during critical conditions at the boundary of the zone of acute criteria exceedance assigned pursuant to WAC 173-201A-100.

If the Permittee has an effluent limit for acute toxicity and the ACEC is not known, then effluent characterization for acute toxicity shall continue until the time an ACEC is known. Effluent characterization shall be continued until an ACEC has been determined and shall be performed using each one of the tests listed in Subsection A on a rotating basis. When an ACEC has been determined, the Permittee shall immediately complete all applicable requirements in Subsections C, D, and F.

If no effluent limit is required by Subsection B at the end of one year of effluent characterization, then the Permittee shall stop effluent characterization and begin to conduct the activities in Subsection E even if the ACEC is unknown.

# C. Monitoring for Compliance With an Effluent Limit for Acute Toxicity

Monitoring to determine compliance with the effluent limit shall be conducted quarterly for the remainder of the permit term using each of the species listed in Subsection A above on a rotating basis and performed using at a minimum 100% effluent, the ACEC, and a control.

The Permittee shall schedule the toxicity tests in the order listed in the permit unless the Department notifies the Permittee in writing of another species rotation schedule. The percent survival in 100% effluent shall be reported for all compliance monitoring.

Compliance with the effluent limit for acute toxicity means no statistically significant difference in survival between the control and the test concentration representing the ACEC. The Permittee shall immediately implement Subsection D if any acute toxicity test conducted for compliance monitoring determines a statistically significant difference in survival between the control and the ACEC using hypothesis testing at the 0.05 level of significance (Appendix H, EPA/600/4-89/001). If the difference in survival between the control and the ACEC is less than 10%, the hypothesis test shall be conducted at the 0.01 level of significance.

# D. Response to Noncompliance With an Effluent Limit for Acute Toxicity

If the Permittee violates the acute toxicity limit in Subsection B, the Permittee shall begin additional compliance monitoring within one week from the time of receiving the test results. This additional monitoring shall be conducted weekly for four consecutive weeks using the same test and species as the failed compliance test. For intermittent discharges, testing shall be conducted on the next four discharge events using the same test and species as the failed compliance test. Testing shall determine the LC<sub>50</sub> and effluent limit compliance. The discharger shall return to the original monitoring frequency in Subsection C after completion of the additional compliance monitoring.

If the Permittee believes that a test indicating noncompliance will be identified by the Department as an anomalous test result, the Permittee may notify the Department that the compliance test result might be anomalous and that the Permittee intends to take only one additional sample for toxicity testing and wait for notification from the Department before completing the additional monitoring required in this subsection. The notification to the Department shall accompany the report of the compliance test result and identify the reason for considering the compliance test result to be anomalous. The Permittee shall complete all of the additional monitoring required in this subsection as soon as possible after notification by the Department that the compliance test result was not anomalous.

If the one additional sample fails to comply with the effluent limit for acute toxicity, then the Permittee shall proceed without delay to complete all of the additional monitoring required in this subsection.

The one additional test result shall replace the compliance test result upon determination by the Department that the compliance test result was anomalous.

If all of the additional compliance monitoring conducted in accordance with this subsection complies with the permit limit, the Permittee shall search all pertinent and recent facility records (operating records, monitoring results, inspection records, spill reports, weather records, production records, raw material purchases, pretreatment records, etc.) and submit a report to the Department on possible causes and preventive measures for the transient toxicity event which triggered the additional compliance monitoring.

If toxicity occurs in violation of the acute toxicity limit during the additional compliance monitoring, the Permittee shall submit a Toxicity Identification/Reduction Evaluation (TI/RE) plan to the Department. The TI/RE plan submittal shall be within sixty (60) days after the sample date for the fourth additional compliance monitoring test. If the Permittee decides to forgo the rest of the additional compliance monitoring tests required in this subsection because one of the first three additional compliance monitoring tests failed to meet the acute toxicity limit, then the Permittee shall submit the TI/RE plan within sixty (60) days after the sample date for the first additional monitoring test to violate the acute toxicity limit. The TI/RE plan shall be based on WAC 173-205-100(2) and shall be implemented in accordance with WAC 173-205-100(3).

# E. <u>Monitoring When There Is No Permit Limit for Acute Toxicity</u>

The Permittee shall test final effluent once in the last summer and once in the last winter prior to submission of the application for permit renewal. All species used in the initial acute effluent characterization or substitutes approved by the Department shall be used and results submitted to the Department as a part of the permit renewal application process.

## F. Sampling and Reporting Requirements

1. All reports for effluent characterization or compliance monitoring shall be submitted in accordance with the most recent version of Department of Ecology Publication # WQ-R-95-80, *Laboratory Guidance and Whole Effluent Toxicity Test Review Criteria*, in regards to format and content. Reports shall contain bench sheets and reference toxicant results for test methods. If the lab provides the toxicity test data on floppy disk for electronic entry into the Department's database, then the Permittee shall send the disk to the Department along with the test report, bench sheets, and reference toxicant results.

- 2. Testing shall be conducted on grab. Samples taken for toxicity testing shall be cooled to 4 degrees Celsius while being collected and shall be sent to the lab immediately upon completion. The lab shall begin the toxicity testing as soon as possible but no later than 36 hours after sampling was ended.
- 3. All samples and test solutions for toxicity testing shall have water quality measurements as specified in Department of Ecology Publication # WQ-R-95-80, *Laboratory Guidance and Whole Effluent Toxicity Test Review Criteria*, or most recent version thereof.
- 4. All toxicity tests shall meet quality assurance criteria and test conditions in the most recent versions of the EPA manual listed in Subsection A and the Department of Ecology Publication # WQ-R-95-80, *Laboratory Guidance and Whole Effluent Toxicity Test Review Criteria*. If test results are determined to be invalid or anomalous by the Department, testing shall be repeated with freshly collected effluent.
- 5. Control water and dilution water shall be laboratory water meeting the requirements of the EPA manual listed in Subsection A or pristine natural water of sufficient quality for good control performance.
- 6. The whole effluent toxicity tests shall be run on an unmodified sample of final effluent.
- 7. The Permittee may choose to conduct a full dilution series test during compliance monitoring in order to determine dose response. In this case, the series must have a minimum of five effluent concentrations and a control. The series of concentrations must include the ACEC.
- 8. All whole effluent toxicity tests, effluent screening tests, and rapid screening tests that involve hypothesis testing and do not comply with the acute statistical power standards of 29% as defined in WAC 173-205-020 must be repeated on a fresh sample with an increased number of replicates to increase the power.

## S10. CHRONIC TOXICITY

## A. Effluent Characterization

The Permittee shall conduct chronic toxicity testing on the final effluent. The two chronic toxicity tests listed below shall be conducted on each sample taken for effluent characterization.

Testing shall begin by November 1, 2006. A written report shall be submitted to the Department within sixty (60) days after the sample date. A final effluent characterization summary report shall be submitted to the Department within ninety (90) days after the last monitoring test results are final. This summary report shall include a tabulated summary of the individual test results and any information on sources of toxicity, toxicity source control, correlation with effluent data, and toxicity treatability which is developed during the period of testing.

Effluent testing for chronic toxicity shall be conducted quarterly for one year. The Permittee shall conduct chronic toxicity testing during effluent characterization on a series of at least five concentrations of effluent in order to determine appropriate point estimates. This series of dilutions shall include the ACEC. The Permittee shall compare the ACEC to the control using hypothesis testing at the 0.05 level of significance as described in Appendix H, EPA/600/4-89/001. In accordance with Chapter 173-205-020, the ACEC and CCEC are equal to 100% in this permit.

Chronic toxicity tests shall be conducted with the following two species and the most recent version of the following protocols:

Saltwater Chron	Method	
Topsmelt or Silverside minnow	Atherinops affinis or Menidia beryllina	EPA/600/R-95/136 or EPA/600/4-91/003
Mysid shrimp	Holmesimysis costata or Mysidopsis bahia	EPA/600/R-95/136 or EPA/600/4-91/003

The Permittee shall use the West Coast fish (topsmelt, *Atherinops affinis*) and mysid (*Holmesimysis costata*) for toxicity testing unless the lab cannot obtain a sufficient quantity of a West Coast species in good condition in which case the East Coast fish (silverside minnow, *Menidia beryllina*) or mysid (*Mysidopsis bahia*) may be substituted.

# B. <u>Effluent Limit Toxicity</u>

After completion of effluent characterization, the Permittee has an effluent limit for chronic toxicity if any test conducted under Subsection A results in an NOEC less than the ACEC or if any test shows a significant difference between the control and the ACEC at the 0.05 level of significance using hypothesis testing (Appendix H, EPA/600/4-89/001). The Permittee shall complete all applicable requirements in Subsections C, D, and F upon determining that an effluent limit for chronic toxicity applies to the discharge.

If no test resulted in a NOEC less than the ACEC or if no significant difference is shown between the ACEC and the control in any of the chronic toxicity tests, the Permittee has no effluent limit for chronic toxicity and only Subsections E and F apply.

# The effluent limit for chronic toxicity is no toxicity detected in a test concentration representing the chronic critical effluent concentration (CCEC).

The CCEC means the maximum concentration of effluent allowable at the boundary of a mixing zone assigned pursuant to WAC 173-201A-100.

# C. <u>Monitoring for Compliance With an Effluent Limit for Chronic Toxicity</u>

Monitoring to determine compliance with the effluent limit shall be conducted quarterly for the remainder of the permit term using each of the species listed in Subsection A above on a rotating basis and performed using at a minimum the CCEC, the ACEC, and a control.

The Permittee shall schedule the toxicity tests in the order listed in the permit unless the Department notifies the Permittee in writing of another species rotation schedule.

Compliance with the effluent limit for chronic toxicity means no statistically significant difference in response between the control and the test concentration representing the CCEC. The Permittee shall immediately implement Subsection D if any chronic toxicity test conducted for compliance monitoring determines a statistically significant difference in response between the control and the CCEC using hypothesis testing at the 0.05 level of significance (Appendix H, EPA/600/4-89/001). If the difference in response between the control and the CCEC is less than 20%, the hypothesis test shall be conducted at the 0.01 level of significance.

In order to establish whether the chronic toxicity limit is eligible for removal from future permits, the Permittee shall also conduct this same hypothesis test (Appendix H, EPA/600/4-89/001) to determine if a statistically significant difference in response exists between the ACEC and the control.

## D. Response to Noncompliance With an Effluent Limit for Chronic Toxicity

If a toxicity test conducted for compliance monitoring under Subsection C determines a statistically significant difference in response between the CCEC and the control, the Permittee shall begin additional compliance monitoring within one week from the time of receiving the test results. This additional monitoring shall be conducted monthly for three (3) consecutive months using the same test and species as the failed compliance test. For intermittent discharges, testing shall be conducted on the next three discharge events using the same test and species as the failed compliance test. Testing shall be conducted using a series of at least five effluent concentrations and a control in order to be able to determine appropriate point estimates. One of these effluent concentrations shall equal the CCEC and be compared statistically to the nontoxic control in order to determine compliance with the effluent limit for chronic toxicity as described in Subsection C. The discharger shall return to the original monitoring frequency in Subsection C after completion of the additional compliance monitoring.

Page 22 of 32 Permit No. WA-000179-1 Modification Date: November 10, 2005

If the Permittee believes that a test indicating noncompliance will be identified by the Department as an anomalous test result, the Permittee may notify the Department that the compliance test result might be anomalous and that the Permittee intends to take only one additional sample for toxicity testing and wait for notification from the Department before completing the additional monitoring required in this subsection. The notification to the Department shall accompany the report of the compliance test result and identify the reason for considering the compliance test result to be anomalous. The Permittee shall complete all of the additional monitoring required in this subsection as soon as possible after notification by the Department that the compliance test result was not anomalous.

If the one additional sample fails to comply with the effluent limit for chronic toxicity, then the Permittee shall proceed without delay to complete all of the additional monitoring required in this subsection. The one additional test result shall replace the compliance test result upon determination by the Department that the compliance test result was anomalous.

If all of the additional compliance monitoring conducted in accordance with this subsection complies with the permit limit, the Permittee shall search all pertinent and recent facility records (operating records, monitoring results, inspection records, spill reports, weather records, production records, raw material purchases, pretreatment records, etc.) and submit a report to the Department on possible causes and preventive measures for the transient toxicity event which triggered the additional compliance monitoring.

If toxicity occurs in violation of the chronic toxicity limit during the additional compliance monitoring, the Permittee shall submit a Toxicity Identification/Reduction Evaluation (TI/RE) plan to the Department within sixty (60) days after test results are final. The TI/RE plan shall be based on WAC 173-205-100(2) and shall be implemented in accordance with WAC 173-205-100(3).

## E. Monitoring When There Is No Permit Limit for Chronic Toxicity

The Permittee shall test final effluent once in the last summer and once in the last winter prior to submission of the application for permit renewal. All species used in the initial chronic effluent characterization or substitutes approved by the Department shall be used and results submitted to the Department as a part of the permit renewal application process.

# F. Sampling and Reporting Requirements

- 1. All reports for effluent characterization or compliance monitoring shall be submitted in accordance with the most recent version of Department of Ecology Publication # WQ-R-95-80, *Laboratory Guidance and Whole Effluent Toxicity Test Review Criteria*, in regards to format and content. Reports shall contain bench sheets and reference toxicant results for test methods. If the lab provides the toxicity test data on floppy disk for electronic entry into the Department's database, then the Permittee shall send the disk to the Department along with the test report, bench sheets, and reference toxicant results.
- 2. Testing shall be conducted on grab samples. Samples taken for toxicity testing shall be cooled to 4 degrees Celsius while being collected and shall be sent to the lab immediately upon completion. The lab shall begin the toxicity testing as soon as possible but no later than 36 hours after sampling was ended.
- 3. All samples and test solutions for toxicity testing shall have water quality measurements as specified in Department of Ecology Publication # WQ-R-95-80, *Laboratory Guidance and Whole Effluent Toxicity Test Review Criteria*, or most recent version thereof.
- 4. All toxicity tests shall meet quality assurance criteria and test conditions in the most recent versions of the EPA manual listed in Subsection A and the Department of Ecology Publication # WQ-R-95-80, *Laboratory Guidance and Whole Effluent Toxicity Test Review Criteria*. If test results are determined to be invalid or anomalous by the Department, testing shall be repeated with freshly collected effluent.
- 5. Control water and dilution water shall be laboratory water meeting the requirements of the EPA manual listed in Subsection A or pristine natural water of sufficient quality for good control performance.
- 6. The whole effluent toxicity tests shall be run on an unmodified sample of final effluent.

## S11. STORMWATER POLLUTION PREVENTION PLAN (SWPPP)

The Permittee shall submit to the Department an update to the existing Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP) with the permit reapplication required in General Condition G7.

The Permittee shall modify the existing SWPPP whenever there is a change in design, construction, operation or maintenance which causes the SWPPP to be less effective in controlling pollutants. Whenever the description of potential pollutant sources or the pollution prevention measures and controls identified in the SWPPP are inadequate, the SWPPP shall be modified, as appropriate, within two (2) weeks of such determination. The proposed modifications to the SWPPP shall be submitted to the Department at least thirty (30) days in advance of implementing the proposed changes in the plan unless the Department approves immediate implementation. The Permittee shall provide for implementation of any modifications to the SWPPP in a timely manner.

# S12. COMPLIANCE SCHEDULE

The Permittee shall achieve compliance with the effluent limitations in accordance with the following schedule:

## A. <u>AKART Analysis</u>

AKART is defined as all known, available, reasonable methods of prevention and treatment. No later than December 30, 2004, the Permittee shall submit an AKART analysis addressing the metal concentrations in the discharge which have exceeded or have the potential to exceed water quality criteria, to the Department for review and approval. The AKART analysis shall include an identification of all potential sources of metals in the discharge, an evaluation of the available methods for pollutant prevention and/or treatment for zinc, and other metals of concern such as copper. The analysis shall include a schedule for implementation of the preferred treatment option, and a description of the requirements necessary to meet the final effluent limits.

# B. Engineering Report

If treatment is determined to be necessary from the above-referenced AKART analysis, the Permittee shall submit an engineering report with a schedule for construction and startup of the chosen treatment system, to the Department no later than June 30, 2005. The engineering report shall be consistent with all the requirements of Chapter 173-240 WAC.

## C. Construction and Startup

If treatment is determined to be necessary from the above-referenced AKART analysis, the Permittee shall complete the construction and startup of the treatment system as designed above in part B, by December 30, 2005.

## **GENERAL CONDITIONS**

# G1. SIGNATORY REQUIREMENTS

All applications, reports, or information submitted to the Department shall be signed and certified.

- A. All permit applications shall be signed by either a responsible corporate officer of at least the level of vice president of a corporation, a general partner of a partnership, or the proprietor of a sole proprietorship.
- B. All reports required by this permit and other information requested by the Department shall be signed by a person described above or by a duly authorized representative of that person. A person is a duly authorized representative only if:
  - 1. The authorization is made in writing by a person described above and submitted to the Department.
  - 2. The authorization specifies either an individual or a position having responsibility for the overall operation of the regulated facility, such as the position of plant manager, superintendent, position of equivalent responsibility, or an individual or position having overall responsibility for environmental matters. (A duly authorized representative may thus be either a named individual or any individual occupying a named position.)
- C. Changes to authorization. If an authorization under paragraph B.2 above is no longer accurate because a different individual or position has responsibility for the overall operation of the facility, a new authorization satisfying the requirements of <u>paragraph</u> B.2 <u>above</u> must be submitted to the Department prior to or together with any reports, information, or applications to be signed by an authorized representative.
- D. Certification. Any person signing a document under this section shall make the following certification:

"I certify under penalty of law, that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

## **G2.** RIGHT OF INSPECTION AND ENTRY

The Permittee shall allow an authorized representative of the Department, upon the presentation of credentials and such other documents as may be required by law:

- A. To enter upon the premises where a discharge is located or where any records must be kept under the terms and conditions of this permit.
- B. To have access to and copy at reasonable times and at reasonable cost any records required to be kept under the terms and conditions of this permit.
- C. To inspect at reasonable times any facilities, equipment (including monitoring and control equipment), practices, methods, or operations regulated or required under this permit.
- D. To sample or monitor at reasonable times any substances or parameters at any location for purposes of assuring permit compliance or as otherwise authorized by the Clean Water Act.

#### G3. PERMIT ACTIONS

This permit may be modified, revoked and reissued, or terminated either at the request of any interested person (including the Permittee) or upon the Department's initiative. However, the permit may only be modified, revoked and reissued, or terminated for the reasons specified in 40 CFR 122.62, 122.64 or WAC 173-220-150 according to the procedures of 40 CFR 124.5.

- A. The following are causes for terminating this permit during its term, or for denying a permit renewal application:
  - 1. Violation of any permit term or condition.
  - 2. Obtaining a permit by misrepresentation or failure to disclose all relevant facts.
  - 3. A material change in quantity or type of waste disposal.
  - 4. A determination that the permitted activity endangers human health or the environment or contributes to water quality standards violations and can only be regulated to acceptable levels by permit modification or termination [40 CFR part 122.64(3)].
  - 5. A change in any condition that requires either a temporary or permanent reduction or elimination of any discharge or sludge use or disposal practice controlled by the permit [40 CFR part 122.64(4)].
  - 6. Nonpayment of fees assessed pursuant to RCW 90.48.465.
  - 7. Failure or refusal of the Permittee to allow entry as required in RCW 90.48.090.

- B. The following are causes for modification but not revocation and reissuance except when the Permittee requests or agrees:
  - 1. A material change in the condition of the waters of the state.
  - 2. New information not available at the time of permit issuance that would have justified the application of different permit conditions.
  - 3. Material and substantial alterations or additions to the permitted facility or activities which occurred after this permit issuance.
  - 4. Promulgation of new or amended standards or regulations having a direct bearing upon permit conditions, or requiring permit revision.
  - 5. The Permittee has requested a modification based on other rationale meeting the criteria of 40 CFR part 122.62.
  - 6. The Department has determined that good cause exists for modification of a compliance schedule, and the modification will not violate statutory deadlines.
  - 7. Incorporation of an approved local pretreatment program into a municipality's permit.
- C. The following are causes for modification or alternatively revocation and reissuance:
  - 1. Cause exists for termination for reasons listed in A1 through A7, of this section, and the Department determines that modification or revocation and reissuance is appropriate.
  - 2. The Department has received notification of a proposed transfer of the permit. A permit may also be modified to reflect a transfer after the effective date of an automatic transfer (General Condition G8) but will not be revoked and reissued after the effective date of the transfer except upon the request of the new permittee.

## G4. REPORTING PLANNED CHANGES

The Permittee shall, as soon as possible, but no later than sixty (60) days prior to the proposed changes, give notice to the Department of planned physical alterations or additions to the permitted facility, production increases, or process modification which will result in: 1) the permitted facility being determined to be a new source pursuant to 40 CFR 122.29(b); 2) a significant change in the nature or an increase in quantity of pollutants discharged; or 3) a significant change in the Permittee's sludge use or disposal practices. Following such notice, and the submittal of a new application or supplement to the existing application, along with required engineering plans and reports, this permit may be modified, or revoked and reissued pursuant to 40 CFR 122.62(a) to specify and limit any pollutants not previously limited. Until such modification is effective, any new or increased discharge in excess of permit limits or not specifically authorized by this permit constitutes a violation.

# **G5.** PLAN REVIEW REQUIRED

Prior to constructing or modifying any wastewater control facilities, an engineering report and detailed plans and specifications shall be submitted to the Department for approval in accordance with Chapter 173-240 WAC. Engineering reports, plans, and specifications shall be submitted at least one hundred and eighty (180) days prior to the planned start of construction unless a shorter time is approved by Ecology. Facilities shall be constructed and operated in accordance with the approved plans.

## G6. COMPLIANCE WITH OTHER LAWS AND STATUTES

Nothing in this permit shall be construed as excusing the Permittee from compliance with any applicable federal, state, or local statutes, ordinances, or regulations.

## G7. DUTY TO REAPPLY

The Permittee shall apply for permit renewal at least one hundred and eighty (180) days prior to the specified expiration date of this permit.

## G8. TRANSFER OF THIS PERMIT

In the event of any change in control or ownership of facilities from which the authorized discharge emanate, the Permittee shall notify the succeeding owner or controller of the existence of this permit by letter, a copy of which shall be forwarded to the Department.

# A. <u>Transfers by Modification</u>

Except as provided in paragraph B below, this permit may be transferred by the Permittee to a new owner or operator only if this permit has been modified or revoked and reissued under 40 CFR 122.62(b)(2), or a minor modification made under 40 CFR 122.63(d), to identify the new Permittee and incorporate such other requirements as may be necessary under the Clean Water Act.

## B. <u>Automatic Transfers</u>

This permit may be automatically transferred to a new Permittee if:

- 1. The Permittee notifies the Department at least thirty (30) days in advance of the proposed transfer date.
- 2. The notice includes a written agreement between the existing and new Permittee's containing a specific date transfer of permit responsibility, coverage, and liability between them.
- 3. The Department does not notify the existing Permittee and the proposed new Permittee of its intent to modify or revoke and reissue this permit. A modification under the subparagraph may also be minor modification under 40 CFR 122.63. If this notice is not received, the transfer is effective on the date specified in the written agreement.

## G9. REDUCED PRODUCTION FOR COMPLIANCE

The Permittee, in order to maintain compliance with its permit, shall control production and/or all discharges upon reduction, loss, failure, or bypass of the treatment facility until the facility is restored or an alternative method of treatment is provided. This requirement applies in the situation where, among other things, the primary source of power of the treatment facility is reduced, lost, or fails.

#### G10. REMOVED SUBSTANCES

Collected screenings, grit, solids, sludges, filter backwash, or other pollutants removed in the course of treatment or control of wastewaters shall not be resuspended or reintroduced to the final effluent stream for discharge to state waters.

## G11. DUTY TO PROVIDE INFORMATION

The Permittee shall submit to the Department, within a reasonable time, all information which the Department may request to determine whether cause exists for modifying, revoking and reissuing, or terminating this permit or to determine compliance with this permit. The Permittee shall also submit to the Department upon request, copies of records required to be kept by this permit [40 CFR 122.41(h)].

# G12. OTHER REQUIREMENTS OF 40 CFR

All other requirements of 40 CFR 122.41 and 122.42 are incorporated in this permit by reference.

## G13. ADDITIONAL MONITORING

The Department may establish specific monitoring requirements in addition to those contained in this permit by administrative order or permit modification.

## G14. PAYMENT OF FEES

The Permittee shall submit payment of fees associated with this permit as assessed by the Department.

## G15. PENALTIES FOR VIOLATING PERMIT CONDITIONS

Any person who is found guilty of willfully violating the terms and conditions of this permit shall be deemed guilty of a crime, and upon conviction thereof shall be punished by a fine of up to ten thousand dollars (\$10,000) and costs of prosecution, or by imprisonment in the discretion of the court. Each day upon which a willful violation occurs may be deemed a separate and additional violation.

Any person who violates the terms and conditions of a waste discharge permit shall incur, in addition to any other penalty as provided by law, a civil penalty in the amount of up to ten thousand dollars (\$10,000) for every such violation. Each and every such violation shall be a separate and distinct offense, and in case of a continuing violation, every day's continuance shall be deemed to be a separate and distinct violation.

#### G16. UPSET

Definition – "Upset" means an exceptional incident in which there is unintentional and temporary noncompliance with technology-based permit effluent limitations because of factors beyond the reasonable control of the Permittee. An upset does not include noncompliance to the extent caused by operational error, improperly designed treatment facilities, inadequate treatment facilities, lack of preventive maintenance, or careless or improper operation.

An upset constitutes an affirmative defense to an action brought for noncompliance with such technology-based permit effluent limitations if the requirements of the following paragraph are met.

A Permittee who wishes to establish the affirmative defense of upset shall demonstrate, through properly signed, contemporaneous operating logs or other relevant evidence that:

- 1) an upset occurred and that the Permittee can identify the cause(s) of the upset;
- 2) the permitted facility was being properly operated at the time of the upset;
- 3) the Permittee submitted notice of the upset as required in Condition S3.E; and
- 4) the Permittee complied with any remedial measures required under S5 of this permit.

In any enforcement proceeding, the Permittee seeking to establish the occurrence of an upset has the burden of proof.

## **G17. PROPERTY RIGHTS**

This permit does not convey any property rights of any sort, or any exclusive privilege.

#### G18. DUTY TO COMPLY

The Permittee shall comply with all conditions of this permit. Any permit noncompliance constitutes a violation of the Clean Water Act and is grounds for enforcement action; for permit termination, revocation and reissuance, or modification; or denial of a permit renewal application.

## G19. TOXIC POLLUTANTS

The Permittee shall comply with effluent standards or prohibitions established under Section 307(a) of the Clean Water Act for toxic pollutants within the time provided in the regulations that establish those standards or prohibitions, even if this permit has not yet been modified to incorporate the requirement.

#### G20. PENALTIES FOR TAMPERING

The Clean Water Act provides that any person who falsifies, tampers with, or knowingly renders inaccurate any monitoring device or method required to be maintained under this permit shall, upon conviction, be punished by a fine of not more than \$10,000 per violation, or by imprisonment for not more than two (2) years per violation, or by both. If a conviction of a person is for a violation committed after a first conviction of such person under this condition, punishment shall be a fine of not more than \$20,000 per day of violation, or by imprisonment of not more than four (4) years, or by both.

## G21. REPORTING ANTICIPATED NONCOMPLIANCE

The Permittee shall give advance notice to the Department by submission of a new application or supplement thereto at least one hundred and eighty (180) days prior to commencement of such discharges, of any facility expansions, production increases, or other planned changes, such as process modifications, in the permitted facility or activity which may result in noncompliance with permit limits or conditions. Any maintenance of facilities, which might necessitate unavoidable interruption of operation and degradation of effluent quality, shall be scheduled during non-critical water quality periods and carried out in a manner approved by the Department.

## G22. REPORTING OTHER INFORMATION

Where the Permittee becomes aware that it failed to submit any relevant facts in a permit application, or submitted incorrect information in a permit application or in any report to the Department, it shall promptly submit such facts or information.

# G23. REPORTING REQUIREMENTS APPLICABLE TO EXISTING MANUFACTURING, COMMERCIAL, MINING, AND SILVICULTURAL DISCHARGERS

The Permittee belonging to the categories of existing manufacturing, commercial, mining, or silviculture must notify the Department as soon as they know or have reason to believe:

- A. That any activity has occurred or will occur which would result in the discharge, on a routine or frequent basis, of any toxic pollutant which is not limited in this permit, if that discharge will exceed the highest of the following "notification levels":
  - 1. One hundred micrograms per liter (100  $\mu$ g/l).
  - 2. Two hundred micrograms per liter (200 μg/l) for acrolein and acrylonitrile; five hundred micrograms per liter (500 μg/l) for 2,4-dinitrophenol and for 2-methyl-4,6-dinitrophenol; and one milligram per liter (1 mg/l) for antimony.
  - 3. Five (5) times the maximum concentration value reported for that pollutant in the permit application in accordance with 40 CFR 122.21(g)(7).
  - 4. The level established by the Director in accordance with 40 CFR 122.44(f).

Page 32 of 32 Permit No. WA-000179-1 Modification Date: November 10, 2005

- B. That any activity has occurred or will occur which would result in any discharge, on a nonroutine or infrequent basis, of a toxic pollutant which is not limited in this permit, if that discharge will exceed the highest of the following "notification levels":
  - 1. Five hundred micrograms per liter (500  $\mu$ g/L).
  - 2. One milligram per liter (1 mg/L) for antimony.
  - 3. Ten (10) times the maximum concentration value reported for that pollutant in the permit application in accordance with 40 CFR 122.21(g)(7).
  - 4. The level established by the Director in accordance with 40 CFR 122.44(f).

## G24. COMPLIANCE SCHEDULES

Reports of compliance or noncompliance with, or any progress reports on, interim and final requirements contained in any compliance schedule of this permit shall be submitted no later than fourteen (14) days following each schedule date.